IFW



BARNES & THORNBURG LLP

600 One Summit Square Fort Wayne, Indiana 46802 (260) 423-9440

PATENT APPLICATION	
In The United States Patent and	TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE							
Custom	ner No.:	23641 }		Certificate Under 37 CFR 1.8(a)			
Applica	ation No.:	: 10/669945 }		I hereby certify that this correspondence is			
Confirm No.:	nation	4790 }		being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Amendment, Commissioner for Patents,			
Filing Date:		September 24, 2003]	P. O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-			
Group A Unit:	Art	3618		1450 on <u>December 30, 2005</u>			
Examin Name:	ner	Bridgett D. Avery					
Attorne Docket		31274/82679		Zellma Grunden			
First Na Invento		Christina Kay Booker }		/			
Title:		CHILD RESTRAINT SYSTEM }		•			
		TRANSMIT	TTAL F	ORM			
(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)							
ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)							
	Fee Tra	ansmittal Form		Information Disclosure Statement			
		Fee Attached		Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)			
X	Amend	mendment/Response		Response to Missing Parts/Incomplete Application			
				☐ Response to Missing Parts under			
		Affidavits/declaration(s)		37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53			
	Extension of Time Request			Drawing(s)			
	Express Abandonment Request			Licensing-related Paners			

	Petition		Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences Appeal Communication to Group (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) Proprietary Information	
	Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application			
	Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address			
	Terminal Disclaimer		Status Letter	
	Request for Refund CD, Number of CD(s) After Allowance Communication to Group	X		Enclosure(s) e identify below): Return Receipt Postcard
Remar	KS:	Gregory Reg. No. (260) 423	40,965	

Date: December 30, 2005



BARNES & THORNBURG LLP

600 One Summit Square Fort Wayne, Indiana 46802 (260) 423-9440

PATENT APPLICATION IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Customer No.: 23641

Application No.: 10/669945

Confirmation

No.:

4790

Filing Date:

September 24, 2003

Attorney

Docket No.:

31274/82679

First Named

Inventor:

Christina Kay Booker

Group Art

Unit:

3618

Examiner

Name:

Bridgett D. Avery

Title:

CHILD RESTRAINT SYSTEM

Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner of Patents P. O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 Certificate Under 37 CFR 1.8(a)

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop

Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P. O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

on December 30, 2005

Zellma Grunden

RESPONSE TO EXAMINER'S INTERVIEW SUMMARY REPORT

Sir or Madam:

The following is in response to the Examiner's Interview Summary Report mailed December 2, 2005, based on an interview conducted November 23, 2005.

The Applicant wishes to express appreciation to the Examiner for participating in the interview. The purpose of this response is to confirm that bases for rejection not recited in

Serial No. 10/669945 Docket No. 31274-82679

the Examiner's original Office Action dated September 28, 2005, but expressed by the Examiner during the interview, is to be incorporated as part of her rejections.

The limitations of Claim 1 believed not addressed in the Office Action, contrary to MPEP § 2131 and § 707.07, were "wherein the first and second belt segments extend from the chest panel and are positionable about the torso of the juvenile and the second bar, and wherein the second ends of the first and second belt segments are selectively attachable to each another." (Applicant's response to the Office Action will amend "each another" to "each other.") The Examiner's allegation that "Ward ('613) clearly taught a belt positionable around the torso of a juvenile and a second bar (as shown in Figures 8 and clearly described in column 5, lines 63-68 and column 6, lines 1-3)" was not recited in her original Office Action. The Examiner's further allegation that Ward shows "ends of the belt attachable to each other as shown in Figures 8 and 10," is a new basis, as well. The Examiner also stated during the interview that with respect to Claim 1, she is relying on Girardin solely for its disclosure of a chest panel and for no other structure. Based on this information, the Applicant will consider these arguments and incorporate them into the response. The Applicant will also consider the Examiner's Office Action and Interview Summary Report her full and complete analysis of the claims. The Applicant will respond to the rejections in writing as requested by the Examiner in her Interview Summary Report.

The Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned if any outstanding issues remain with respect to the interview.

Respectfully submitted,

Gregory S. Cooper

Reg. No. 40,965

Direct Line (260) 425-4660